Tillman, Tressa

From: Champion, Traylor <BTCHAMPI@GAPAC.com>

Sent: Friday, September 02, 2016 8:28 AM

To: Tillman, Tressa

Cc: Anderson, Israel; Smith, Rhonda; Blanco, Arturo; Hansen, Mark; Burrell, Monica; mcalister;

spencer@adeq.state.ar.us; King, Jennifer L.; Loston, Anthony; Ashley Whitlow; Cain, Timothy

Subject: RE: Meeting Summary - CCCEJ and GP (Please Reply)

Attachments: removed.txt

Tressa...thanks for considering our comments in finalizing your summary

Traylor Champion SVP, Environmental Affairs and Product Safety Georgia-Pacific, LLC 133 Peachtree St. NE Atlanta, GA 404-652-4776 (office) 404-281-3219 (cell)

From: Tillman, Tressa [mailto:tillman.tressa@epa.gov]

Sent: Friday, September 02, 2016 9:17 AM

To: Champion, Traylor < BTCHAMPI@GAPAC.com>

Cc: Anderson, Israel <Anderson.Israel@epa.gov>; Smith, Rhonda <smith.rhonda@epa.gov>; Blanco, Arturo <Blanco.Arturo@epa.gov>; Hansen, Mark <Hansen.Mark@epa.gov>; Burrell, Monica <Burrell.Monica@epa.gov>; mcalister <mcalister@adeq.state.ar.us>; spencer@adeq.state.ar.us; King, Jennifer L. <Jennifer.King@GAPAC.com>; Loston, Anthony <Loston.Anthony@epa.gov>; Ashley Whitlow <Ashley.Whitlow@arkansas.gov>; Cain, Timothy <cain@adeq.state.ar.us>

Subject: RE: Meeting Summary - CCCEJ and GP (Please Reply)

Sent by an external sender

Dear, Mr. Champion – Thank you for your inputs. I have updated the final summary (attached) to include some of your inputs (highlighted in yellow) and one input (highlighted in pink) from CCCEJ. We agree that discussion of the pending Title VI complaint is inappropriate, which is why we stated that during the pre-planning and conducting of the meeting on August 16, 2016. However, we do want to be open and transparent about the key concerns that were raised during the meeting, and we want to continue being responsive to the community.

Please keep in mind that the meeting summary is not meant to imply that CCCEJ's assertions are correct. It is meant to provide an overview of the main discussion topics and what actions will be taken as a result. We believe this will help us to provide the appropriate information and clarifications as we all -- ADEQ, ADH, EPA, and GP -- work toward a successful resolution. Thanks again! -- Tressa

From: Champion, Traylor [mailto:BTCHAMPI@GAPAC.com]

Sent: Tuesday, August 23, 2016 2:40 PM

To: Tillman, Tressa <tillman.tressa@epa.gov>

Cc: Anderson, Israel ; Smith, Rhonda ; Blanco, Arturo ; Blanco, Arturo@epa.gov">; Blanco, Arturo@epa.gov>; Burrell, Monica ; Burrell, Monica@epa.gov>; mcalister mcalister@adeq.state.ar.us; spencer@adeq.state.ar.us; spencer@adeq.st

Subject: RE: Meeting Summary - CCCEJ and GP (Please Reply)

Tressa – Thanks for the opportunity to review and respond to your draft summary of the 8/16 meeting between GP, EPA and David Bouie, Barbara Bouie, Earnest Smith and the CCEJ technical consultants (Slavant, Subra and Sulkin). While the summary captures some aspects of the meeting, I don't believe it provides a complete or accurate picture of the meeting as a whole. I've listed my comments and items I believe should be included in the summary, below:

General Observations

- Individual attendees should be specifically listed (see the attached list of expected attendees from August 3).
- The meeting summary is titled "Crossett Conversation on Environmental Odors and Hydrogen Sulfide Emissions". This scope was agreed to in advance by all participants for a number of reasons, most importantly due to the pending Title VI investigation being conducted by EPA's Office of Civil Rights, based on a complaint filed against ADEQ by the Ouachita Riverkeeper and LEAN (organizations with which Slavant, Subra and Sulkin are associated). Given the pending complaint and investigation, and the fact that ADEQ wasn't present, the parties agreed that topics related to the wastewater treatment system were off limits. However, CCEJ representatives ignored these parameters by repeatedly trying to redirect the discussion to cover the wastewater treatment system in general and the Title VI allegations in particular. Given that these items were outside the agreed upon scope of the meeting, GP believes "Next Steps" arising out of these items are inappropriate. See comments on Remaining Concerns and Next Steps #2 and 3 below.

Specific Comments

- Remaining Concerns and Next Steps #2 While GP has no objection to EPA sharing the information provided by Barry Sulkin with ADEQ, this subject was out of scope for the meeting and action items arising from these discussions are not appropriate.
- Remaining Concerns and Next Steps #3 This subject was out of scope for the meeting and action items arising from these discussions are not appropriate.
- Remaining Concerns and Next Steps #4 Wilma Subra expressed that she did not believe one air monitor was adequate and that additional air monitors are needed. EPA's proposed next step is to "continue to work to determine if additional air monitoring can be conducted." This begs the question as to whether additional air monitoring is warranted. Any additional monitoring, beyond the voluntary monitoring that has been conducted by GP since October 2014, should be based on documented health statistics or other scientific data. Nothing in the recently issued Health Consultation conducted by ADH and ATSDR provides a basis for any additional monitoring. Additionally, contrary to Wilma Subra's point of view, Pastor Bouie has communicated to GP, on more than one occasion since the meeting, that increased monitoring would be a waste of resources.
- Remaining Concerns and Next Steps #6 The summary states that CCEJ is concerned with how
 information is shared with the community and seemed unaware of GP's hotline to report concerns,
 incidents, etc. While GP has already initiated the "Next Steps" by providing the hotline number and
 hard copies of the latest H2S report to Pastor Bouie (soft copies are readily available to the general
 public on ADH's website), I'd like to note that GP initiated this hotline, at EPA's suggestion, in August

2014, and has publicized the hotline through fliers, local newspaper ads and during the August 2014 public meeting, at which many CCEJ members were in attendance. To date, GP has only received 1 call on the hotline, and it was unrelated to concerns about GP's operations. But it should also be noted that Jennifer King, Crossett public affairs manager, gets frequent calls from community members on a wide range of topics from traffic concerns, potential community donations, and GP programs.

Since EPA is planning to share this summary with ADEQ, ADH and the City of Crossett for their awareness and further coordination of next steps, I think it is crucial that the summary include the items noted above in order to accurately convey the tone of and information discussed in the meeting. I've included Michael McAlister and Stuart Spencer from ADEQ on this email to bring them in the loop. Please give me a call if you'd like to discuss.

Traylor Champion SVP, Environmental Affairs and Product Safety Georgia-Pacific, LLC 133 Peachtree St. NE Atlanta, GA 404-652-4776 (office) 404-281-3219 (cell)

From: Tillman, Tressa [mailto:tillman.tressa@epa.gov]

Sent: Friday, August 19, 2016 10:59 AM

To: Champion, Traylor < BTCHAMPI@GAPAC.com>

Cc: Anderson, Israel < <u>Anderson.Israel@epa.gov</u>>; Smith, Rhonda < <u>smith.rhonda@epa.gov</u>>; Blanco, Arturo

<Blanco.Arturo@epa.gov>

Subject: Meeting Summary - CCCEJ and GP (Please Reply)

Sent by an external sender

Hi, Mr. Champion – Attached is a draft summary from Tuesday's meeting with CCCEJ and GP. Please review it and **let me know if you have any changes by close of business on Friday, August 26, 2016**. Our plan is to share the summary with ADEQ, ADH, and the City of Crossett for their awareness and for further coordination on next steps. Thank you! -- Tressa

Tressa A. Tillman
Environmental Justice Community Liaison for Arkansas
Office of Environmental Justice, Tribal and International Affairs (6RA-DA)
US Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6
1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 1200
Dallas, TX 75202-2733
214-665-2261 Fax 214-665-2124
tillman.tressa@epa.gov



This email may contain material that is confidential, privileged and/or attorney work product and is for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review, reliance, or distribution by others or forwarding without express permission is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and delete all copies.

